

Understanding Changes to GASB

A Panel Discussion

BUILDING RETIREMENT SUCCESS TOGETHER

Moderator

• Leon Hank, MERS Chief Financial Officer

Panelists

- Luke Huelskamp, MERS Senior Finance Manager
- **Tony Minghine,** Associate Executive Director & COO, Michigan Municipal League
- David Sabuda, Finance Director/Treasurer, City of Berkley
- Jim Koss, MERS Senior Actuary
- Joe Heffernan, Partner, Plante Moran



New Pension Reporting Standards

 The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued two new standards that will substantially change the accounting and financial reporting of public employee pensions

3

Statement No. 67 -Financial Reporting for Pension Plans

 Revises existing guidance for the financial reports of most pension plans Statement No. 68 -Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions

 Revises and establishes new financial reporting requirements for most governments that provide their employees with pension benefits



TOGETHER

Key Changes for 2015

- Net Pension Liability (NPL)
- Net Pension Expense
- Discount Rate
- Deferred Outflows and Inflows
- Valuation Dates and Roll-Forwards

4



IOGETHER

What's Not Changing?

Annual Actuarial Valuation process

- Required Contributions
- Notes and Required Supplemental Information (RSI)

- Similar, but more



BUILDING RETIREMENT SUCCESS

Summary of the Change

Today	Future
Focus is on the Unfunded Accrued Liability (UAL)	Focus will be on the Net Pension Liability (NPL)
Liabilities are recorded in the footnotes	Liabilities will be on the balance sheet and footnotes will now be extensive
ARC and Pension Expense are the same	ARC and Pension Expense will be different
Public pension plans use the rate of return they expect on their investments, typically around 8%	Now severely underfunded plans that are not making contributions must use a lower rate for some of their obligations



SUCCESS

TOGETHER

Separate Pension Numbers

-			
	Books	Bonds	Budgets
Source of Calculation	Accounting standards set by GASB	Credit rating agencies	Annual Actuarial Valuations
Methodology	Pensions are accounted for through the computation of a Net Pension Liability	Varies by rating agency Pensions are just one of many metrics used to determine a bond rating	MERS uses entry age normal cost method
What's changing	The Net Pension Liability is a new figure that will be placed on basic government financial statements and is expected to create unprecedented volatility and, in some cases, could dwarf other items on the financial statement	Some ratings agencies have announced that in their credit analytics, they will adjust pension data using uniform, generally more conservative assumptions regarding amortization periods and investment returns	New GASB standards will no longer include parameters for calculating an annual required contribution





What will municipalities actually see?

What is Net Pension Liability?

Total Pension Liability

Calculated by the actuary

Fair Market Value of Assets

Assets invested with MERS for your municipality



Goes on your balance sheet



BUILDING RETIREMENT SUCCESS TOGETHER

The Bottom Line

- If your pension is well-funded (95%), the liability is likely small
- If your plan is less well-funded (60%), the new liability could be the largest number on your balance sheet
- These new rules may make local governments appear weaker



IOGE I HEB

10

NPL Effects at 94% Funding

Assets			2012	20	012 with GASB
	Cash and Equivalents	\$	1,320,000	\$	1,320,000
	Receivables, net		10,114,000		10,114,000
	Capital Assets		27,442,000		27,442,000
	Total assets		38,876,000		38,876,000
Liabilitie	S				
	Accounts Payable/Accrued Liabilities		552,000		552,000
	Long Term Debt		19,630,000		19,630,000
	Net pension liability				1,178,000
	Total liabilities		20,182,000		21,360,000
Net Posit	ion				
	Invested in capital assets, net of debt		10,003,000		10,003,000
	Unrestricted		8,691,000		7,513,000
	Total Net Position	\$	18,694,000	\$	17,516,000
					MERS
					BUILDING
					RETIREMENT
		11			SUCCESS
					TOGETHEF

NPL Effects at 79% Funding

Assets			2012	2012 with GASB
	Cash and Equivalents	\$	6,711,000	\$ 6,711,000
	Receivables, net		25,870,000	25,870,000
	Capital Assets, net		18,240,000	18,240,000
	Total assets		50,821,000	50,821,000
Liabilities				
	Accounts Payable/Accrued Liabilities		8,433,000	8,433,000
	Unearned revenue		6,171,000	6,171,000
	Long Term Debt		12,342,000	12,342,000
	Net pension liability			14,792,000
	Total liabilities		26,946,000	41,738,000
Net Position				
	Invested in capital assets, net of debt		5,690,000	5,690,000
	Unrestricted		18,185,000	3,393,000
	Total Net Position	\$	23,875,000	\$ 9,083,000
		<u> </u>		
				MERS
		1		BUILDING
				SUCCESS
	12			TOGETHER

NPL Effects at 63% Funding

Assets	 2012	2012 with GASB
Cash and Equivalents	\$ 9,900,200	\$ 9,900,200
Receivables, net	24,300,000	24,300,000
Capital Assets, net	 14,970,000	14,970,000
Total assets	 49,170,200	49,170,200
abilities		
Accounts Payable/Accrued Liabilities	5,590,000	5,590,000
Unearned revenue	5,011,000	5,011,000
Long Term Debt	26,380,000	26,380,000
Net pension liability		35,444,000
Total liabilities	 36,981,000	72,425,000
et Position		
Invested in capital assets, net of debt	5,690,000	5 <mark>,690,000</mark>
Unrestricted	 6,499,200	-28,944,800
Total Net Position	\$ 12,189,200	\$ (23,254,800)
		€ <u>M</u> E
13		TOGETH



What is the buzz around the State regarding awareness and impact for municipal officials?



What's the reaction of one governmental official after working on the GASB impact?

City of Berkley Example

City of Berkley Profile

This just a draft estimate

2012 Government Wide Statements \$39 M total assets

\$14 M total liabilities

\$25 M net assets

Actuarial Profile 71% funded \$6M UAL ARC of \$522K

GASB Impact \$39 M total assets \$22 M total liabilities: \$8 M NPL (58% ↑) Pension Expense \$768K (48% ↑ of ARC)

Record new outflows/inflows of \$937K

\$17 M net assets $(32\% \downarrow)$

Draft Estimates



BUILDING RETIREMENT SUCCESS TOGETHER



When do I start discussions with my auditors on GASB 68?

What are the audit issues we will face?



Will municipalities need to budget for this increase?



What are the effective dates for the new standards?



Will the new standards impact plans for bonding or compliance with bond covenants for outstanding bonds?



My municipality has postemployment retiree health care. Will the new standards also apply to OPEB?



Other Questions?

Next Steps

- Collaboration with Auditors and Actuaries
- MERS has been partnering with our municipalities to do scenario testing



TOGETHER

Please take time to fill in your survey

There are session surveys in the back of your book. Please take the time to fill in the survey to assist us in planning future events!



24

MERS

IOGETHER

Contact Us

MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

1134 Municipal Way Lansing, MI 48917

800.767.2308 *Fax:* 517.703.9707

<u>www.mersofmich.com</u> <u>www.mymers.mersofmich.com</u>

This presentation contains a summary description of MERS benefits, policies or procedures. MERS has made every effort to ensure that the information provided is accurate and up to date. Where the publication conflicts with the relevant Plan Document, the Plan Document controls.



RETIREMEN

TOGETHER